Publication Ethics for Conference Proceedings

All authors submitting to the International Conference on STAT-2025 are expected to adhere to high standards of research and publication ethics. The following principles are based on the guidelines of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE).

1. Research Ethics

Authors must ensure their research is conducted honestly, accurately, and safely. All data and images must be reported truthfully, without fabrication or falsification.

2. Plagiarism

Authors must avoid all forms of plagiarism, including:

- Copying others' work without proper attribution.
- Reusing significant portions of their own previously published work (self-plagiarism or text recycling) without appropriate citation.
- AI-generated content is not accepted.

All submissions will be screened for originality.

3. Authorship and Contributorship

Proper credit must be given to all individuals who contributed significantly to the research and the preparation of the manuscript. The roles of each author should be clearly stated.

4. Peer Review

The peer review process will be conducted in a fair, unbiased, and timely manner. Reviewers are selected based on subject expertise, and all reviews are treated confidentially.

5. Allegations of Misconduct

Any allegations of research or publication misconduct—whether before or after publication—will be investigated following a transparent and fair process. Proven misconduct may lead to rejection, retraction, or correction of the article.

6. Data Management

Authors are responsible for the accurate handling of data. This includes how data are collected, stored, shared, and reported. Supporting data should be available upon request, subject to privacy or legal considerations.

7. Post-Publication Discussion and Corrections

After publication, mechanisms are in place to raise concerns, issue corrections, or retract papers when necessary. We welcome constructive post-publication feedback.

8. Conflicts of Interest

All authors must disclose any financial, personal, or professional relationships that could be perceived as influencing their work. Full transparency is expected.